#### N<sub>2</sub> measurements by the gas tension method

Craig McNeil (APL/UW)

Eric D'Asaro (APL/UW) Bruce Johnson (Pro-Oceanus Systems, Inc.)

Overview:

1.Measuring N<sub>2</sub> using gas tension method

2. Overview of the gas tension device (GTD)

3.Measurement errors

4.Some results from the field

5. Overview of pCO<sub>2</sub> sensor

#### 1. Measuring N<sub>2</sub> using gas tension method



Gas tension (or total dissolved gas pressure) is:

 $P_{T} = pN_{2} + pO_{2} + pAr + pH_{2}O + pCO_{2} + \dots$   $\sim 78\% \quad \sim 21\% \quad \sim 1\% \quad 1 \text{ to } 5\% \quad \text{usually negligible}$   $`GTD' \quad `optode' \quad `assume' \quad `TS'$   $`SBE43' \quad pN_{2} \approx P_{T} \quad = \quad pO_{2} \quad = \quad pAr \quad = \quad pH_{2}O$ use:  $[Gas] = S_{H}(T,S) \times pGas \quad (\text{Henry's Law})$ 

- 2. The gas tension device (GTD)
- Moored GTD



## - Shipboard GTD





## - Float GTD



 $au \sim 2$  min at surface  $au \sim 10$  min at 60 m



#### **Equilibration time for float GTD**



Pulse pumping is 2 times slower but 90% more efficient! 1.7 times faster at 35 °C than 5 °C

Compressible H < 10 m

## **Dynamical response of float GTD**



#### 3. Measurement errors for N<sub>2</sub>

1) Gas solubility coefficients,  $S_H(T,S)$  - big

 $S_{H}(N_{2}) \sim 0.14\%$   $S_{H}(O_{2}) \sim 0.2\%$   $S_{H}(Ar) \sim 0.13\%$  [*Hamme & Emerson*, 2004; *Garcia & Gordon*, 1992]

2) Winklers - big

 $[O_2] \sim$  typical 0.5 %, at best 0.2% NB: error on pN<sub>2</sub> is 0.14%, at best 0.06%

3) Assume Argon levels - medium

a) best use Ar sat =  $N_2$  sat (recursive approach); within 2% at HOT/BATS

or b) Ar sat =100%, and conservatively within 10% equilibrium

NB: error on  $pN_2$  is 0.03% for assumption (a), and 0.13% for assumption (b).

4) Gas tension - small

accuracy:  $\pm 0.2$  mbar or ~ 0.02% precision ~ 0.00001% drift > 0.02% per year ! Reported T controlled water bath tracks air pressure to within  $\pm 0.07$ % over 8 days. Minimum predicted error for  $[N_2]$  is  $\pm 0.25\%$ (requires careful Winklers and GTD equilibration)

#### Mass-spec (MS) intercomparisons

Time series: Emerson et al. [2002] made comparisons over 2 yrs at HOTS, reported pN<sub>2</sub> better than ± 0.5%

Vertical profiles: McNeil et al. [2006] using floats at < 45m depth in Puget Sound showed GTD-N<sub>2</sub> up to 2.8% higher than MS-N<sub>2</sub>. Co-located sampling is hard to do, but this difference was large. Unresolved, needs more work!



## 4. Some results from the field



#### Sea surface gas tension during DOGEE-II (NE Atlantic off Portugal)



Collaborators: Eric D'Asaro (APL/UW) Rob Upstill-Godard,(UK) Phil Nightingale (UK) Will Drenan (U Miami) Mike DeGrandpre (U. Montana) ....



#### 5. New CO<sub>2</sub> sensor uses same patented membrane interface







response: 3<sup>+</sup> minutes depth: 1000 m size: 17x33 cm power: 5<sup>+</sup> Watts accuracy:  $xCO_2 \pm 1$  ppm (approx) precision  $xCO_2$ :  $\pm 0.01$  ppm

# 6. Summary

- Measurements of N<sub>2</sub> provide information on gas exchange and productivity; complements O<sub>2</sub> as proxy for 'abiotic O<sub>2</sub>'
- Gas tension is very precise and stable (± 0.02 % per year), has been measured on ships, moorings, and profiling floats
- Estimate  $N_2$  to better than  $\pm 0.5\%$ ; needs good TS and  $O_2$  (Winklers)
- Expect new low power float sensor suit to measure  $O_2/N_2/CO_2/CH_4$

### **Dynamical Response of Profiling GTD**

$$\frac{dP_M}{dt} = -(P_M - P_T)/\tau_g + w\beta_m(p)$$

$\tau_g(p)$	= equilibration time of GTD
$\beta_m(p)$	= isothermal compressibility of membrane (or $1/K_m$ )
w	= vertical velocity of float
$P_M$	= measured pressure of GTD
$P_T$	= true gas tension of the water
Р	= hydrostatic pressure

*Forward Solution*: use 'best guess' for  $P_T(z)$ , integrate then compare to  $P_M$ 

*Inverse Solution*: solve directly for  $P_T$